1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE JOSEPH C. BUTNER SBN 005229 JEFFREY G. PAUPORE SBN 007769 DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY 255 East Gurley Street Prescott, AZ 86301 Telephone: 928-771-3344 ycao@co.yavapai.az.us

B. Chamberlain

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

STATE OF ARIZONA, Cause No. P1300CR20081339 Plaintiff, **Division 6 OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S** v. MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE DATED STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER, **AUGUST 23, 2010** Defendant.

The State of Arizona, by and through Sheila Sullivan Polk, Yavapai County Attorney, and her deputy undersigned, hereby objects to Defendant's Motion to Extend Time for Additional Disclosure and requests that the Court deny Defendant's Motion. Since June 22, 2009, the defense team has vehemently objected to every item disclosed by the State arguing that the material should be precluded due to its untimeliness. Now the defense is attempting is to offer untimely material and ask the Court for special treatment without a valid excuse.

The material contained in Defendant's 10th Supplement is weather-related data from 2008 which tends to support Defendant's position that no rain fell in the Williamson Valley area around the time of the murder. Whether there was rain fall at the Bridle Path residence on July 1 or 2, 2008, has long been point of contention in this case. This fact was challenged in

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

Defendant's first motion to remand for a new finding of probable cause and at the Simpson Hearing.

There is no valid excuse to allow the defense to use this information that should have been disclosed more than 18 months ago. The defense freely accuses the State of failing to use due diligence in disclosure, but now that the tables are turned is seeking some type of exception to the requirements of Rule 15.6 of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The fact remains that testimony has been offered which supports the State's position. Charlotte DeMocker testified she and her mother exchanged text messages about the recent rains just hours before Carol was brutally murdered. In addition, several of the State's witnesses testified that due to the condition of the ground around Carol's home and on the ranch land behind the home, it was evident that rain had fallen very recently. There is no doubt that the defense team failed to use "due diligence" and should have obtained this data provided by Dr. Curtis well before the disclosure deadline.

CONCLUSION:

Defendant failed to show that the material disclosed in the 10th Supplement could not have been discovered earlier and should be precluded from offering any information contained therein at trial.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2 day of September, 2010.

Sheila Sullivan Polk

YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY

By:

Jeffrey G/Paupore

Deputy County Attorney

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

24

25

26

1	
2	COPIES of the foregoing delivered this day of September, 2010 to:
3	
4	Honorable Warren R. Darrow Division 6
5	Yavapai County Superior Court
6	(via email)
7	John Sears 511 East Gurley Street
8	Prescott, AZ 86301
9	Attorney for Defendant (via email)
10	Larry Hammond
11	Anne Chapman
12	Osborn Maledon, P.A. 2929 North Central Ave, 21 st Floor
13	Phoenix, AZ Attorney for Defendant
	(via email)
14	By: Dels Cowell
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	